



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_7010
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Extension Methods in Disease Prevention: A Case of Smallholder Poultry Production Systems in Egypt
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract It was well written but there is need to recast the statements in lines 10-12 and 17-19 because they are ambiguous. In Line 6, remove the bracket. Arrange the key words in alphabetical order. Introduction It was well written but there is need to check the statements in line 39, 43 and recast. Remove lines 220-22. They are not relevant. Materials and methods Materials and methods are adequate. There is need to look at the sample selection. It is not possible that all the districts have equal population because the author claimed that 20% of total population were selected totalling to 120. Revisit it. Remove operational definition in lines 230-263	All comments made in revised manuscript except for removing operational definitions point. From my point of view this part is important because it is clarify how i measure effectiveness? and what particular practices i tested with the farmers to measure their knowledge and skills especially the tables in the results part presented only the main areas of practices not the all practices .So, it is very important for the reader to know the practices studied.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Results and discussion The data was well controlled and robust. In personal and socio-economic characteristics. Recast sentence in line 265 (fewer than half), Do not report everything in the Table but the major findings. Indicate the implication of each variable discussed. In Table 1 (age) use less than or equal to 30(Youth), 31-60(adult) and 60 and above (Old) instead of using 23-33 that has no scientific bases. In lines 317- 329 indicate the implication of the findings. In line 332 use another word for 'large section'. Conclusion and recommendation Re-write the conclusion in line with your findings. More so, summarize your findings and make appropriate recommendation. There is no need to quote any author in your conclusion. Use the quotation to support your findings in results and discussion References Not all the references cited.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript was well written. There is need to attend to this important observations: Recast the statements in lines 10-12 and 17-19 because they are ambiguous. Do not report everything in the Table but the major findings. Indicate the implication of each variable discussed. In Table 1 (age) use less than or equal to 30(Youth), 31-60(adult) and 60 and above (Old) instead of using 23-33 that has no scientific bases. In lines 317- 329 indicate the implication of the findings. In line 332 use another word for 'large section'. In line 317- 329 indicate the implication of the findings. In line 332, use another word for 'large section'. Re-work the conclusion in line with your findings. More so, summarize your findings and make appropriate recommendation.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		